Scoping Review: Evaluations of preemployment screening practices for child-related work that aim to prevent child sexual abuse

Appendix 1: Citations details of all 270 excluded papers by exclusion category

Parenting Research Centre and the University of Melbourne

Commissioned by the Royal Commission into Institutional Responses to Child Sexual Abuse





Scoping Review: Evaluations of pre-employment screening practices for child-related work that aim to prevent child sexual abuse

Project team

The Royal Commission into Institutional Responses to Child Sexual Abuse commissioned and funded this research project. It was carried out by the following researchers:

Dr Sandra South, PhD

Research Fellow, Knowledge Exchange and Implementation, Parenting Research Centre

Prof. Aron Shlonsky, PhD

Professor of Evidence Informed Practice, Department of Social Work, School of Health Sciences, University of Melbourne

Dr Robyn Mildon, PhD

Director of Knowledge Exchange and Implementation, Parenting Research Centre

Ms Anastasia Pourliakas, MPsych. (ClinPsych)

Research Officer, Parenting Research Centre

Ms Jessica Falkiner

Research Assistant, Parenting Research Centre

Mr Adrian Laughlin

Research Assistant, Parenting Research Centre

Disclaimer

The views and findings expressed in this report are those of the author(s) and do not necessarily reflect those of the Royal Commission. Any errors are the author's responsibility.

The scoping review was conducted between January and March 2014, and papers and reports dated after this time were not included.

Published date

February 2015

Copyright information

South, S, Shlonsky, A, & Mildon, R. 2014, Scoping Review: Evaluations of pre-employment screening practices for child-related work that aim to prevent child sexual abuse, Royal Commission into Institutional Responses to Child Sexual Abuse, Sydney.

ISBN 978-1-925118-90-2

© Commonwealth of Australia 2014

All material presented in this publication is provided under a Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 Australia licence (www.creativecommons.org/licenses).

For the avoidance of doubt, this means this licence only applies to material as set out in this document.



The details of the relevant licence conditions are available on the Creative Commons website as is the full legal code for the CC BY 3.0 AU licence (www.creativecommons.org/licenses).

Contents

Appendix 1: Citation details of all 270 excluded papers by exclusion		
category		4
1.1	Papers considered to be not relevant (n=13)	4
1.2	Narrative or non-systematic reviews (n=8)	5
1.3	Frameworks or Guidelines (n=51)	6
1.4	Legislation and/or summaries of legislation (n=70)	9
1.5	Opinion pieces (n=69)	12
1.6	Descriptive studies (n=29)	15
1.7	Studies exploring relationships to generate theory (n=3)	17
1.8	Unable to be located (n=27)	18

Appendix 1: Citation details of all 270 excluded papers by exclusion category

1.1 Papers considered to be not relevant (n=13)

- Barton, S. J. SEARCH, The National Consortium for Justice Information and Statistics (1994)
 Survey of Criminal History Information Systems, 1993, With Supplementary Information on
 Presale Firearm Checks, 1994. A report to the Bureau of Justice Statistics, U.S. Department of
 Justice. Washington, DC.
- 2. Conroy, P. (2012). No safety net for disabled children in residential institutions in Ireland. Disability & Society, 27(6), 809-822. doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/09687599.2012.679021
- 3. Cowley, M., & Colyer, J. B. (2010). Asymmetries in prior conviction reasoning: Truth suppression effects in child protection contexts. Psychology, Crime & Law, 16(3), 211-231. doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/10683160802612916
- 4. DeVoe, J. F., Peter, K., Noonan, M., Snyder, T. D., & Baum, K. U.S. Departments of Education and Justice (2005) Indicators of School Crime and Safety, 2005 (NCES 2006-001/NCJ 210697). Washington, DC.
- 5. Green, L. (2001). Analysing the sexual abuse of children by workers in residential care homes: Characteristics, dynamics and contributory factors. [Article]. Journal of Sexual Aggression, 7(2), 5-24.
- 6. Hayes, D. (2007). Balanced on a Tightrope. Community Care(1697), 14-15.
- 7. Hornick, J. P., & Bolitho, F. (1992). REVIEW OF THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE CHILD SEXUAL ABUSE LEGISLATION IN SELECTED SITES (pp. 181).
- 8. Jackson, F. J., & Locklear, J. M. (1997). A Few Good Men. Security Management, 41(9), 87-88, 90-91.
- 9. Kemshall, H., Wood, J., Westwood, S., Stout, B., Wilkinson, B., Kelly, G., & Mackenzie, G. Home Office (2010) Child Sex Offender Review (CSOR) Public Disclosure Pilots: a process evaluation 2nd edition. Research Report 32. London.
- 10.Loar, L. (2007). Increasing safety for at-risk adults: Screening in-home care providers. Social Work, 52(3), 271-273. doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/sw/52.3.271
- 11. Marks, K. (2013). INVESTIGATING SERIAL CHILD MOLESTERS. [Article]. Law & Order, 61(5), 42-45.
- 12. National Society for the Prevention of Cruelty to Children (2013) Safer Recruitment Statistics. from http://www.nspcc.org.uk/Inform/research/statistics/Safer-recruitment-statistics wda95754.html; last accessed 04-03-2014.
- 13.U.S. Departments of Justice and Education (2000) School Safety: Annual Report, 2000. Washington, DC.

1.2 Narrative or non-systematic reviews (n=8)

- Abel, G. G., Lawry, S. S., Karlstrom, E., Osborn, C. A., & Gillespie, C. F. (1994). Screening Tests for Pedophilia. Criminal Justice and Behavior, 21(1), 115-131. doi: 10.1177/0093854894021001008
- 2. Beyer, L. R., Higgins, D. J., & Bromfield, L. M. National Child Protection Clearinghouse, Australian Institute of Family Studies (2005) Understanding Organisational Risk Factors for Child Maltreatment: A Review of Literature. A report to the Community Services Ministers' Advisory Council (CSMAC) Child Safe Organisations Working Group.
- 3. Erooga, M. National Society for the Prevention of Cruelty to Children (2009) Towards Safer Organisations: Adults who pose a risk to children in the workplace and implications for recruitment and selection London.
- 4. Ministry of Social Development (2012a) Children's Workforce: Workforce Development: Literature Snapshot. Prepared by the Centre for Social Research and Evaluation for Child, Youth and Family Policy. New Zealand.
- 5. Ministry of Social Development (2012b) The White Paper for Vulnerable Children: Volume II. New Zealand Government.
- 6. Moriarty, A. (1990). Deterring the molester and abuser: pre-employment testing for child and youth care workers. Child and Youth Care Quarterly, 19(Spring 90), 59-66.
- 7. Parker, A. Ministry of Education (2013) Evaluation of the Safety of Children in Coeducational Residential Special Schools: A literature review. Wellington.
- 8. Shakeshaft, C. U.S. Department of Education, Office of the Under Secretary (2004) Educator Sexual Misconduct: A synthesis of Existing Literature. Washington, DC.

1.3 Frameworks or Guidelines (n=51)

- 1. Access Northern Ireland (2009) A Guide to Access Northern Ireland.
- 2. Allegation Management Adviser (2009) Guidance for Safer Working Practice for Adults who Work with Children and Young People. U.K.
- 3. American Academy of Pediatrics, American Public Health Association and the National Resource Center for Health and Safety in Child Care and Early Education (1997) Stepping Stones To Using "Caring for Our Children": National Health and Safety Performance Standards for Out-of-Home Child Care Programs. Protecting Children from Harm.
- 4. American Academy of Pediatrics, American Public Health Association, National Resource Center for Health and Safety in Child Care and Early Education (2011) Caring for our children: National Health and Safety Performance Standards: Guidelines for Early Care and Education: Third Edition.
- 5. Barrett-Kavanagh, G. V. Boys'and Girls' Clubs of America Child Protection: A Child Abuse and Neglect Prevention Guidebook for Boys and Girls Clubs. New York.
- 6. Bernotavicz, F. D. Edmund S. Muskie School of Public Service (2008) Screening and Selection of Child Welfare Staff. Portland, ME.
- 7. Child, Youth and Family, a service of the Ministry of Social Development. Child, Youth and Family: Application Process. from http://www.cyf.govt.nz/about-us/working-here/other-professions.html#StagefourPassthebackgroundchecks5
- 8. Child Care Aware of America of America (2013) Background Checks to Promote Child Safety and Learning.
- 9. Children England (2010) Why the Vetting and Barring Scheme? London.
- 10. Davis, N. S., Grasso, K. L., Dennis, K., Wells, S. J., & Liss, M. B. (1998) Guidelines for the Screening of Persons Working With Children, the Elderly, and Individuals With Disabilities in Need of Support. Office of Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention, U.S. Department of Justice. Washington, DC.
- 11. Department for Education (2011) Fostering Services: National Minimum Standards (applies to England). U.K.
- 12.Department of Child, Youth and Family Services (Child, Youth and Family) (2002) Provider Guide to the Standards for Approval. New Zealand.
- 13. Department of Children and Youth Affairs (2011) Children First: National Guidance for the Protection and Welfare of Children. Dublin.
- 14. Department of Children and Youth Affairs (2011) ENSURING THE SAFETY AND WELFARE OF CHILDREN/YOUNG PEOPLE: Child Protection Policy and Code of Behaviour for working with children/young people. Dublin.
- 15. Department of Children and Youth Affairs (2013) Children First: Sectoral Implementation Plan. Dublin.
- 16.Department of Education (2013) Youth Connections Program Guidelines. Australian Government.
- 17. Department for Education and Skills (2007) Safeguarding Children and Safer Recruitment in Education: Comes into force 1 January 2007. U.K.
- 18. Department for Families and Communities (2010) Standards for dealing with information obtained about the criminal history of employees and volunteers who work with children. Government of South Australia.
- 19. Department of Health and Children (2009) Report of the Commission to Inquire into Child Abuse, 2009. Implementation Plan. Office of the Minister for Children and Youth Affairs, Dublin.

- 20.Disclosure and Barring Service: Criminal Record Checks and Referrals. from https://www.gov.uk/disclosure-and-barring-service-criminal-record-checks-referrals-and-complaints
- 21. Disclosure and Barring Service and Home Office (2013). Criminal Records Check: Guidance for Employers. U.K., from https://www.gov.uk/dbs-check-requests-guidance-for-employers
- 22. Disclosure Scotland (2011) Code of Practice: In connection with the use of disclosure information and with the functions of registered persons
- 23. Disclosure Scotland. The PVG Scheme: Disclosure Scotland. from http://www.disclosurescotland.co.uk/disclosureinformation/pvgscheme.htm
- 24. Disclosure Scotland and Safer Scotland (2010) Protecting Vulnerable Children Scheme: Check to Protect. Scotlish Government.
- 25. Family Child Care Home Standards. (1998) (pp. 35).
- 26.HM Government (2012) Changes to disclosure and barring: What you need to know. U.K.
- 27. Karageorge, K., & Kendall, R. (2008) The Role of Professional Child Care Providers in Preventing and Responding to Child Abuse and Neglect. Office on Child Abuse and Neglect, Children's Bureau, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services.
- 28.Leberg, E. (1997). Understanding Child Molestors: Taking Charge.
- 29.McKinney, K. (1999) Guidelines for Screening Care Providers. Office of Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention, U.S. Department of Justice. Washington, DC.
- 30. Ministry of Health (2013) Safe Practice Guidelines for Youth Mentoring Programmes. Wellington.
- 31. Ministry of Social Development (2011) OSCAR Standards for Approval and Provider Guidelines: Out of School Care and Recreation (OSCAR) Programmes. New Zealand.
- 32. Ministry of Social Development (2012) Children's Action Plan: The White Paper on Vulnerable Children. New Zealand Government.
- 33. National Alliance for Youth Sports (2012) Background Screening in Youth Sports: 2012 Edition.
- 34. National College for Teaching & Leadership (2014) Teacher Misconduct: The prohibition of teachers. Advice on factors relating to decisions leading to the prohibition of teachers from the teaching profession.
- 35. National Recreation and Park Association (2008) Recommended Guidelines for Credentialing Volunteers.
- 36. National Society for the Prevention of Cruelty to Children (2013) Value Based Interviewing: Keep Children Safer through Recruitment: NSPCC factsheet.) from http://www.nspcc.org.uk/Inform/research/briefings/Value-based-interviewing_wda95721.html
- 37. National Youth Council of Ireland (2010) Information Pack on Garda Vetting for the Youth Work Sector.
- 38.Office of Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention (1994) Hiring the Right People: Guidelines for the Selection and Screening of Youth-Serving Professionals and Volunteers. U.S.A.
- 39. Parent Handbook for Family Child Care Homes. (1997) (pp. 31).
- 40. Patterson, J. (1994). Staff Screening Tool Kit: Keeping the Bad Apples Out of Your Organization (pp. 118). NoRMaC Publications, Washington, DC.
- 41. Patterson, J. C. (1988). Camp Director's Guide: Preventing Sexual Exploitation of Children (pp. 36).
- 42. Public Safety Canada (2008) Best practice guidelines for screening volunteers. Canada.
- 43. Public Safety Canada (2012) The Screening Handbook: Tools and Resources for the Voluntary Sector

- 44. Saul, J., & Audage, N. C. (2007) Preventing child sexual abuse within youth-serving organizations: Getting started on policies and procedures. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, National Center for Injury Prevention and Control. Atlanta, GA.
- 45. Scott, M. V. (2005). Effective Mentor Selection. Family & Corrections Network Report(39), 10-12.
- 46. Sport New Zealand. Examples of Good Practice Principles: Children and Young People in Sport and Recreation.
- 47.U.S. Department of Homeland Security (2011) Protective Measures Guide for the U.S Outdoor Venues Industry.
- 48. Volunteer Canada (2006) Safe enough? Reviewing your screening practices.
- 49. Wakefield, C. (2002). Preventing and Reporting Child Abuse and Neglect: Guidance for School Personnel (pp. 33). Denver, CO: Colorado Department of Education.
- 50. Wolf, A. M., & Gutierrez, L. (2011). Operating and Managing Street Outreach Services (pp. 7).
- 51.Zarra, E. J. J. (1997). It Should Never Happen Here: A Guide for Minimizing the Risk of Child Abuse in Ministry (pp. 144).

1.4 Legislation and/or summaries of legislation (n=70)

- 1. Alabama Statutes Annotated. (1995) (pp. 39). U.S.
- 2. Anderson, T. (2007). STATE LEGISLATURE WRAP-UP. Security Management, 51(1), 88-93.
- 3. Blank, H. (1994) Protecting Our Children: State and Federal Policies for Exempt Child Care Settings. Children's Defense Fund. Washington, DC.
- 4. Bureau of Justice Statistics (1995) Compendium of State Privacy and Security Legislation: 1994 Overview. (pp. 166). U.S. Department of Justice, Washington, DC.
- 5. Bureau of Justice Statistics (1997) Compendium of State Privacy and Security Legislation: 1997 Overview Alabama Title 26; Infants and Incompetents. (pp. 34). U.S. Department of Justice, Washington, DC.
- Bureau of Justice Statistics (1997) Compendium of State Privacy and Security Legislation: 1997
 Overview Arkansas; Crime Reporting and Investigations. (pp. 23). U.S. Department of Justice,
 Washington, DC.
- 7. Bureau of Justice Statistics (1997) Compendium of State Privacy and Security Legislation: 1997 Overview Delaware; Delaware Code Annotated. (pp. 42). U.S. Department of Justice, Washington, DC.
- 8. Bureau of Justice Statistics (1997) Compendium of State Privacy and Security Legislation: 1997 Overview Louisiana; Louisiana Revised Statutes Annotated. (pp. 49). U.S. Department of Justice, Washington, DC.
- 9. Bureau of Justice Statistics (1997) Compendium of State Privacy and Security Legislation: 1997 Overview North Carolina; Article Three, Criminal Statistics. (pp. 87). U.S. Department of Justice, Washington, DC.
- 10. Bureau of Justice Statistics (1997) Compendium of State Privacy and Security Legislation: 1997 Overview South Dakota; South Dakota Codified Laws Annotated. (pp. 17). U.S. Department of Justice, Washington, DC.
- 11. Bureau of Justice Statistics (1997) Compendium of State Privacy and Security Legislation: 1997 Overview Tennessee. (pp. 33). U.S. Department of Justice, Washington, DC.
- 12. Bureau of Justice Statistics (1997) Compendium of State Privacy and Security Legislation: 1997 Overview Utah. (pp. 41). U.S. Department of Justice, Washington, DC.
- 13. Bureau of Justice Statistics (1999) National Crime Prevention and Privacy Compact: Resource Materials. U.S. Department of Justice. Washington, DC.
- 14. Bureau of Justice Statistics (1994) National Criminal History Improvement Program: Program Announcement. (pp. 33). U.S. Department of Justice. Washington, DC.
- 15. Bureau of Justice Statistics (1995) National Criminal History Improvement Program: Program Announcement. U.S. Department of Justice. Washington, DC.
- 16. Bureau of Justice Statistics (1997) National Criminal History Improvement Program: Fiscal Year 1997 Program Announcement. (pp. 48). U.S. Department of Justice. Washington, DC.
- 17. Child Care Aware of America (2013) Major Highlights: The Child Care and Development Block Grant Act of 2013.
- 18. Child Welfare Information Gateway (2010) Home Study Requirements for Prospective Foster Parents: State Statutes. U.S.
- 19. Child Welfare Information Gateway (2011) Criminal Background Checks for Prospective Foster and Adoptive Parents: State Statutes. U.S.
- 20. Child Welfare Information Gateway (2012) Home Study Requirements for Prospective Parents in Domestic Adoption: State Statutes. U.S.
- 21. Child Welfare Information Gateway (2012) Major Federal Legislation Concerned with Child Protection, Child Welfare and Adoption: Factsheet. U.S.
- 22. Child Welfare Information Gateway (2013) Placement of Children with Relatives: State Statutes. U.S.

- 23. Crime Control Act of 1990. (1990) (pp. 180). U.S.
- 24. Criminal Records Act (R.S.C., 1985, c. C-47) (1985). Canada.
- 25. Criminal Records (Clean Slate) Act 2004 (2004). New Zealand.
- 26. Criminal Records/Background Checking Laws: A SHRM State Law & Regulation Resource Society for Human Resource Management (SHRM) (2014) Alexandria, Virginia.
- 27. Davis, N. S. (1994). National Child Protection Act of 1993 (pp. 12). U.S.
- 28. Delaware Code Annotated. (1995) (pp. 44). U.S.
- 29. Department of Children and Youth Affairs (2013) Ireland's Consolidated Third and Fourth Report to the UN committee on the rights of the child. Dublin, Ireland.
- 30.Disclosure and Barring Service (2013) A guide to eligibility for criminal record checks V2.2. U.K.
- 31.Disclosure and Barring Service and Home Office (2013) Helping employers make safer recruiting decisions, U.K. from https://www.gov.uk/government/policies/helping-employers-make-safer-recruiting-decisions
- 32. Education Act 1989 (1989). New Zealand.
- 33. Fagnoni, C. (2000). Child Care: State Requirements for Background Checks (pp. 31): U.S. General Accounting Office.
- 34. Fisher, K., & Lays, J. (1995). Children, Youth and Family Issues. 1995 State Legislative Summary. A Publication of the Children and Families Program (pp. 168): National Conference of State Legislatures, Denver, CO.
- 35. The Fostering Services (England) (2011) Regulations 2011. U.K.
- 36.Gabriel, G. J. (2003). AN EXAMINATION OF LAWS GOVERNING CRIMINAL BACKGROUND CHECKS OF SCHOOL PERSONNEL IN STATES BELONGING TO THE SOUTHERN ASSOCIATION OF COLLEGES AND SCHOOLS. Retrieved from http://athenaeum.libs.uga.edu/bitstream/handle/10724/6891/gabriel_gloria_j_200308_edd.pdf?sequence=1-
- 37.H.R. 1925 Child Care Criminal Background Check Act of 2013 (2013). U.S.
- 38. Health and Safety in Employment Act 1992 (1992). New Zealand.
- 39. Home Office (2010) Child Sex Offender Disclosure Scheme Guidance Document. U.K.
- 40. Home Office and Disclosure and Barring Service (2013) Home Office Framework Document for the Disclosure and Barring Service (DBS). U.K.
- 41. Howell, J. C. (1989). Selected State Legislation: A Guide for Effective State Laws to Protect Children (pp. 102).
- 42. Ministries of Community Safety and Correctional Services, and Citizenship and Immigration in consultation with Ontario Nonprofit Network . An overview of Provincially mandated police records checks: A resource for Ontario's Not-for-Profit Sector. Ontario, Canada.
- 43. Ministry of Education (2010) Changes to Police Vetting Requirements. New Zealand. from http://www.minedu.govt.nz/NZEducation/EducationPolicies/Schools/PublicationsAndResourc es/Circulars/Circulars2010/Circular201009.aspx
- 44. National Center for Missing and Exploited Children (1985) Selected State Legislation A Guide for Effective State Laws to Protect Children. U.S.A.
- 45. National Society for the Prevention of Cruelty to Children. Criminal Records Bureau checks (CRB) are now called DBS checks. U.K. from http://www.safenetwork.org.uk/help_and_advice/employing_the_right_people/Pages/CRB_c heck.aspx
- 46.National Society for the Prevention of Cruelty to Children (2013) Criminal Record Disclosure: NSPCC Factsheet. U.K. from http://www.nspcc.org.uk/Inform/research/briefings/Criminal-record-disclosure-checks_wda95750.html
- 47. National Society for the Prevention of Cruelty to Children. The Protection of Freedoms Bill became law as of 1 May 2012. U.K. from

- http://www.safenetwork.org.uk/news_and_events/news_articles/pages/protection-of-freedoms-bill.aspx
- 48.National Society for the Prevention of Cruelty to Children (2013) Safer Recruitment Legislation: NSPCC Fact Sheet. U.K. from http://www.nspcc.org.uk/Inform/research/briefings/Safer-recruitment-legislation_wda95715.html
- 49. National Society for the Prevention of Cruelty to Children (2013) Child protection guidance and legislation for Northern Ireland: A list of the guidance and legislation for protecting children from abuse and neglect in Northern Ireland. U.K. from http://www.nspcc.org.uk/inform/policyandpublicaffairs/northernireland/guidance/guidance_wda61700.html
- 50. National Society for the Prevention of Cruelty to Children (2013) Child protection guidance and legislation for Wales: A summary of the practice guidance and legislation for protecting children in Wales. U.K. from http://www.nspcc.org.uk/inform/policyandpublicaffairs/wales/guid-legwales wda92152.html
- 51. National Vetting Bureau (Children and Vulnerable Persons) Act 2012 (2012). Ireland.
- 52. National Youth Council of Ireland. Garda Vetting. from http://www.childprotection.ie/vetting
- 53. No Child Left Behind Act of 2001 (2002). U.S.
- 54. Phipps, C. A. (1998). Child Abuse Legislation in the 1990s. Prosecutor, 32(2), 26-30-41.
- 55. Protecting Students from Sexual and Violent Predators Act (2013). U.S.
- 56. Protection of Freedoms Act 2012 (2012). U.K.
- 57. Protection of Vulnerable Groups (Scotland) Act 2007 (2007). U.K.
- 58. Protection of Vulnerable Groups Act 2007 (2007).
- 59. Safeguarding Vulnerable Groups (Northern Ireland) Order 2007 (2007). U.K.
- 60. Safeguarding Vulnerable Groups Act 2006 (2006). U.K.
- 61.Sex Offender Registration Is Latest Federal Mandate Tied to Byrne Funds. (1995). Justice Bulletin, 15(10), 1-11.
- 62. Smith, S., Fisher, K., & Lays, J. (1996) National Conference of State Legislatures- Children, Youth and Family Issues. 1996 State Legislative Summary. A Publication of the Children and Families Program.
- 63. Snow, D. M. (1991). One Hundred and First Congress in Review: The Omnibus Crime Control Act of 1990 P.L. (Public Law) Number 101-647 (pp. 10).
- 64. State Legislative Summary, 1993: Children, Youth, and Family Issues. (1993) (pp. 188). U.S.
- 65. State Legislative Summary: Children, Youth, and Family Issues. 1992. (1992) (pp. 160): National Conference of State Legislatures. U.S.
- 66. Stelzer, L. (1998). Children, Youth and Family Issues, 1997. State Legislative Summary (pp. 170): National Conference of State Legislatures. U.S.
- 67. Title II: Victims of Child Abuse Act of 1990. (1990) (pp. 24). U.S.
- 68. Utah Statutes Annotated. (1995) (pp. 51). U.S.
- 69.U.S. Department of Education (2009) State regulations for private schools.
- 70. Williams, A. Charles Russell LLP (2012) Vetting and Barring Scheme: an update. London.

1.5 Opinion pieces (n=69)

- 1. Alliance for Children at Risk (2013) Submission to the Royal Commission into Institutional responses to child sexual abuse: Issues Paper 1- working with children checks. Perth, WA.
- 2. An Garda Síochána (2004) Working group on Garda Vetting. Report. Department of Justice and Equality, Dublin.
- 3. Anderson, E. A. (1986). Family day care provision: A legislative response. Child Care Quarterly, 15(1), 6-14. doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF01118989
- 4. Australian Sports Commission (2008) Submission to the Commonwealth Government's Independent Review of Sport in Australia. Australian Government.
- 5. Australian Sports Commission (2009) Preliminary Submission to the productivity commission's study into the contribution of the not for profit sector. Australian Government.
- 6. Baas, A. (1990) Background Checks on School Personnel. ERIC Digest Series (pp. 4): EA 55.
- 7. Beaty, P. T., & Wooley, M. R. (1985). Child molesters need not apply: a history of Pennsylvania's child protective services law and legislative efforts to prevent the hiring of abusers by child care agencies. Dickinson Law Review, 89(3), 669-690.
- 8. Berson, I. R., Berson, M. J., Karges-Bone, L., Parker, J. K., & Horns-Marsh, V. (1999). Screening Teacher Education Candidates for Sexual Predators. [and] Can We Make Our Schools and Children Risk-Free? A Response to Berson, Berson, Karges-Bone, and Parker. The Educational Forum, 63(2), 150-155, 156-158.
- 9. A bill to reauthorize and improve the "Child Care and Development Block Grant Act of 1990" and for other purposes (2013). U.S.
- 10.Bloom, R. B. (1994). Institutional child sexual abuse: Prevention and risk management. Residential Treatment for Children & Youth, 12(2), 3-18. doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1300/J007v12n02_02
- 11.Cabinet paper on the Vulnerable Children's Bill: Revised Proposal for Child Harm Prevention Orders. (2013). New Zealand: Retrieved from http://www.msd.govt.nz/documents/about-msd-and-our-work/work-programmes/policy-development/white-paper-vulnerable-children/legislation/vulnerable-children-s-bill-revised-proposal-for-child-harm-prevention-orders.pdf
- 12. Child Abuse and Day Care (1984). Joint Hearing before the Subcommittee on Oversight of the Committee on Ways and Means and Select Committee on Children, Youth, and Families. House of Representatives, Ninety-Eighth Congress, Second Session. Superintendent of Documents, U.S. Government Printing Office. Washington, DC.
- 13. Child Abuse and Sexual Abuse of Children in Day and Residential Children's Facilities (1984). Public Hearing Before the New Jersey Senate Institutions, Health and Welfare Committee.
- 14. Crime and Misconduct Commission Queensland (2004) Protecting children: An inquiry into abuse of children in foster care. Brisbane, Australia.
- 15. Davidson, H. A. (1985). Protection of children through criminal history record screening: well-meaning promises and legal pitfalls. Dickinson Law Review, 89(3), 577-604.
- 16. Davidson, H. A. (1986). Legal Responses to Abuse of Children in Out-of-Home Care. Children's Legal Rights Journal, 7(4), 2-6.
- 17. Department of Education, Department of Health and the Home Office (2011) Vetting & Barring Scheme Remodelling Review- Report and Recommendations. London.
- 18. Department of Families, Housing, Community Services and Indigenous Affairs (2011) *Position paper: Toward a nationally consistent approach to Working with Children Check*. Canberra, Australia.
- 19. Department of Health and Human Services (1999) Child Abuse and Neglect State Statutes Series, Issue Paper: Due Process and Central Registries: An Overview of Issues and Perspectives. Washington, DC.

- 20. Education Amendment Bill (2009). New Zealand.
- 21.Education Amendment Bill 2009: Regulatory Impact Statement. Amending police vetting requirements for non-teaching staff and contractors in early childhood education services and schools (2009). New Zealand.
- 22. Education Commission of the States (1999) State Issues Report, 1998-99. Denver, CO.
- 23. Federal Assistance to States to Prevent the Abuse of Children in Child Care Facilities (1984). Hearings Before the Senate Subcommittee on Juvenile Justice on S 521 and S 1924.
- 24. Gips, M. (1997). Putting a finger on child abusers. Security Management, 41(4), 12.
- 25. Government of New Zealand (2011) Every Child Thrives, Belongs, Achieves: The Green Paper for Vulnerable Children.
- 26. Governor's Crime Commission (1985) Missing Children: Report to the Governor and the General Assembly of Virginia. Department of Crime Control and Public Safety.
- 27. Great Britain Home Office, Sentencing and Offences Unit (2002) Breaking the circle: A report of the review of the Rehabilitation of Offenders Act. London.
- 28. Home Office (2011) Protection of Freedoms Bill- Fact Sheet 5: Safeguarding of Vulnerable Groups, Criminal Records Etc. U.K.
- 29. Houses of the Oireachtas (2008) Joint Committee on the Constitutional Amendment on Children First Report. Interim Report: Twenty-Eighth Amendment of the Constitution Bill 2007. Ireland
- 30. Houses of the Oireachtas (2011) First Report. Submissions received in relation to the Scheme of the National Vetting Bureau Bill. Joint Committee on Justice, Defence and Equality. Dublin.
- 31. Houses of the Oireachtas (2011) Second Report: Report on hearings in relation to the Scheme of the National Vetting Bureau Bill. Joint Committee on Justice, Defence and Equality. Dublin.
- 32. Human Rights Commission (2013) Submission on the Vulnerable Children Bill. New Zealand.
- 33. Joint Committee on Health and Children (2012) Report on Children First (Heads of) Bill. Houses of the Oireachtas.
- 34.Law Reform Commission of Western Australia (2006) Aboriginal Customary Laws: The interaction of Western Australian law with Aboriginal law and culture. Final Report Project 94. Perth.
- 35.Mason, S. (2011) A common sense approach: A review of the criminal records regime in England and Wales: Phase 2. Home Office. London.
- 36. Ministry of Education (2012) Regulatory Impact Statement. Safeguarding the children's workforce through standard safety checks. New Zealand.
- 37. Ministry of Social Development (2012) Regulatory Impact Statement. Vulnerable Children's Bill: Specific Care and Protection Legislation Changes. New Zealand.
- 38. Ministry of Social Development (2012) The White Paper for Vulnerable Children: Volume 1., New Zealand Government.
- 39. Mondale Walter, F., & et al. (1978). Child abuse symposium. Chicago Kent Law Review, 54(3), 635-826.
- 40. Murphy, D. (1992). A scandalous issue: Mass. Catholic diocese settles suit over child-abuse charges. 12(15), 25-26.
- 41.NACCRRA (2011) Bill Highlights: Child Care Criminal Background Check Act of 2011. U.S.
- 42.NACCRRA (2011) Bill highlights: Child Care Protection Act of 2011. U.S.
- 43.NACCRRA (2012) Bill Highlights: Child Care Accountability and Responsibility Act (CARE for Kids Act). U.S.
- 44. National Child Protection Clearinghouse (2006) Child maltreatment in organisations: Risk factors and strategies for prevention. Australian Institute of Family Studies.
- 45. National Conference on Criminal History Records: Brady & Beyond. (1995). Paper presented at the BJS/SEARCH Group Conference.

- 46.New South Wales Office of The Children's Guardian (2013) Submission to the Royal Commission into Institutional Responses to Child Sexual Abuse: Issues paper 4 Preventing Sexual Abuse of Children in Out-of-Home Care.
- 47.NSW Government (2013) Submission to the Royal Commission into Institutional Responses to Child Sexual Abuse: Issues Paper 1- working with children checks.
- 48.Office of the Attorney General (2006) The Attorney General's Report on Criminal History Background Checks. U.S. Department of Justice.
- 49. Office of the Minister for Children and Youth Affairs (2008) National Review of Compliance with "Children First: National Guidelines for the Protection and Welfare of Children". Dublin.
- 50. Powell, D. National Secretary (2013) Submission on Vulnerable Children's Bill to the Social Services Committee. Auckland.
- 51. Professional responsibilities in protecting children: A public health approach to child sexual abuse. (1988). Sexual medicine, 9, 222.
- 52. Queensland Commission for Children and Young People and Child Guardian (2013) Submission to the Royal Commission Into Institutional Responses to Child Sexual Abuse. Issues Paper 3: Child Safe Organisations.
- 53. Report Card to the Nation: Missing and Exploited Children, 1984-1994. (1994) (pp. 24).
- 54.Richardson, N., Higgins, D. J., & Bromfield, L. M. National Child Protection Clearinghouse, Australian Institute of Family Studies (2005) Submission on the Discussion Draft For Draft Legislation For Working with Children.
- 55. Shannon, G. Department of Children and Youth Affairs (2007) Report of the Special Rapporteur on Child Protection: A Report Submitted to the Oireachtas. Dublin.
- 56. Shannon, G. Department of Children and Youth Affairs (2008) Second Report of the Special Rapporteur on Child Protection: A Report Submitted to the Oireachtas. Dublin.
- 57. Shannon, G. Department of Children and Youth Affairs (2011) Fifth Report of the Special Rapporteur on Child Protection: A Report Submitted to the Oireachtas. Dublin.
- 58. Shannon, G. Department of Children and Youth Affairs (2013) Sixth Report of the Special Rapporteur on Child Protection: A Report Submitted to the Oireachtas. Dublin.
- 59. Singleton, R. Chief Advisor on the Safety of Children, Chair of the Independent Safeguarding Authority (2009) 'Drawing the Line': A report on the Government's Vetting and Barring Scheme. London.
- 60. Spaulding, W. E. (1986). Epidemic of the Eighties Missing and Exploited Children (From Crime and Punishment in Modern America, P 99-114, 1986, Patrick B McGuigan and Jon S Pascale, eds.) (pp. 16).
- 61. Stover, D. (2005). When a Sex-Offender Enrols in Your School. Education Digest: Essential Readings Condensed for Quick Review, 71(2), 13-17.
- 62. Terpstra, J. (1984). Licensing's Contribution to the Prevention of Child Abuse (pp. 21).
- 63. Thomas, T. (2001). Preventing Unsuitable People from Working with Children--the Criminal Justice and Court Services Bill. [Article]. Child Abuse Review, 10(1), 60-69.
- 64. Truth, Justice and Healing Council (2013) Submission to the Royal Commission into Institutional Responses to Child Sexual Abuse: Issue Paper 3 Child Safe Institutions.
- 65. Unflinching Look at Child Sexual Abuse. (1995). Children's Voice, 4(3), 4-5, 15.
- 66. Victoria Legal Aid (2013) Submission to the Royal Commission into Institutional responses to child sexual abuse: Issues Paper 1- working with children checks. Melbourne, Victoria.
- 67. Vulnerable Children Bill (2013). New Zealand.
- 68. Vulnerable Children's Bill: Submission to the Social Services Select Committee Children's Commissioner (2013) New Zealand.
- 69. White, R. (1993). Residential Care Under Review. Child Abuse Review, 2(2), 134-136.

1.6 Descriptive studies (n=29)

- 1. Administration for Children and Families (2011) Background Checks, Health and Safety Requirements, CCDF Plan; Information Memorandum., U.S. Department of Health and Human Services.
- 2. Blumenthal, R., & Milstein, J. (2010) Protecting our children: Improving protections for children when allegations are made that school system personnel abused and/or neglected children. Report. Attorney General State of Connecticut & Child Advocate.
- 3. Chaiken Marcia, R. (1996). Raising Our Cities' Children: Safe Productive Places in the After-school Hours. Virginia: LINC- Cosponsored by The Carnegie Corporation of New York and National Institute of Justice.
- 4. Child Care Aware of America (2013) Background Checks: It is Time to Protect Children in Child Care: White Paper.
- 5. The Children's Foundation (1994) Child Day Care Center Licensing Study, 1994. (pp. 200). Washington, DC.
- 6. Choice Point Inc. (2008) The importance of background screening for nonprofits: An updated briefing. Alpharetta, GA.
- 7. Connerley Mary, L., Arvey Richard, D., & Bernardy Charles, J. (2001). Criminal background checks for prospective and current employees: Current practices among municipal agencies. Public Personnel Management, 30(2), 173-183.
- 8. Department of Health and Human Services (1985) Model Child Care Standards Act- Guidance to States to Prevent Child Abuse in Day Care Facilities. Washington, DC.
- 9. Fagnoni, C. M. United States General Accounting Office (2000) Child Care: State Efforts To Enforce Safety and Health Requirements. Report to Congressional Requesters. Washington, DC.
- 10. Fraser, S. Office of the Auditor General of Canada (2011) Children, Youth and Family Programs and Services in Nunavut. Report to the Legislative Assembly of Nunavut.
- 11. Health Service Executive (HSE) (2012) HSE Audit of Safeguarding Arrangements in the Catholic Church in Ireland: Volume 1 Dioceses Report
- 12. House of Commons (2013) Criminal Records Bureau: Annual Report and Accounts For the period 1 April 2012 to 1 December 2012. London.
- 13. Human Resource Consultancy Services, Northern Ireland Statistics and Research Agency, Access Northen Ireland (2012) AccessNI- Customer Survey 2012. Belfast.
- 14. Jacobs, J. B., & Blitsa, D. (2012). US, EU and UK Employment Vetting as Strategy for Preventing Convicted Sex Offenders from Gaining Access to Children. European Journal of Crime, Criminal Law and Justice, 20(3), 265-296. doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1163/157181712X660195
- 15.Laullen, C. Auditor of Public Accounts, Commonwealth of Kentucky (2010) Registered Sex Offender Address Comparisons Can Strengthen the Monitoring Process for Child Care and Out-of-Home Placement Providers Frankfort, KY.
- 16. The National Association for Regulatory Administration (NARA) (2013) The 50-State Child Care Licensing Study 2011-2013 Edition.
- 17. National Center on Child Care Quality Improvement (2013) Types and Frequency of Required Background Checks in 2011 Child Care Centres. U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. Fairfax, VA.
- 18. National Center on Child Care Quality Improvement (2013) Types and Frequency of Required Background Checks in 2011 Family Child Care Homes. U.S Department of Health and Human Services. Fairfax, Virginia.
- 19. National Center on Child Care Quality Improvement (2013) Types and Frequency of Required Background Checks in 2011 Group Child Care Homes. U.S Department of Health and Human Services. Fairfax, VA.

- 20. National Collaboration for Youth (1997) Credentialing Activities in the Youth Development Field, 1997. Washington, DC.
- 21. Protecting Children in Day Care: Building a National Background Check System (1991). Hearing before the Committee on the Judiciary, United States Senate, One Hundred Second Congress, First Session on the National Child Protection Act of 1991. Washington, DC.
- 22. Rigsby, R. (2000). Kristen's Story: At-Risk Youth Finds Mentor; One State's Solution to Overcrowding. CTM Corrections Technology & Management, 4(2), 40-45.
- 23. Rindfleisch, N., & Nunno, M. (1992). PROGRESS AND ISSUES IN THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE 1984 OUT-OF-HOME CARE PROTECTION AMENDMENT. Child Abuse & Neglect, 16(5), 693-708.
- 24. Senate Committee on Health and Human Resources (1984) Child Day Care Regulation in Texas: Report and Recommendations. (pp. 42) Austin, TX.
- 25.Trocmé, N., & Schumaker, K. (1999). Reported child sexual abuse in Canadian schools and recreational facilities: Implications for developing effective prevention strategies. Child & Youth Services Review, 21(8), 621-642.
- 26. United States Government Accountability Office (2004) Child care: State efforts to enforce safety and Health Requirements. Report to the Honorable Sander M. Levin, House of Representatives. Washington, DC.
- 27.U.S Department of Justice (2001) Use and management of criminal history record information: A comprehensive report, 2001 update.
- 28. Virginia Departments of Education, Mental Health, Mental Retardation, and Substance Abuse Services, Youth and Family Services and Correctional Education (1994) Sexual Abuse Prevention Treatment Programs: Report to the Governer and the General Assembly of Virginia. Richmond, Virginia.
- 29. von Behren, D. (1995). Sexual Abuse of Children in the Church. Word and Way, 132(8), 4-5.

1.7 Studies exploring relationships to generate theory (n=3)

- 1. Erooga, M., Allnock, D., & Telford, P. National Society for the Prevention of Cruelty to Children (2012) Towards Safer Organisations II: Using the perspectives of convicted sex offenders to inform organisational safeguarding of children. London.
- 2. Morton, D. R. A Dissertation Presented to the Faculty of The Southern Baptist Theological Seminary (2005) The correlation between church leaders' understanding of the issue of child sexual abuse and preventive steps taken within their churches.
- 3. Queensland Commission for Children and Young People and Child Guardian (2013) Submission to the Royal Commission Into Institutional Responses to Child Sexual Abuse: Issues Paper 4 Preventing sexual abuse of children in out of home care.

1.8 Unable to be located (n=27)

- American Bar Association (ABA), Center on Children and the Law (1991) Criminal History Record Checks: A Report for Nonprofits. Final report to the Task Force on Child Sexual Abuse of the National Collaboration for Youth, and affinity group of the National Assembly of National Voluntary Health and Social Welfare Organizations. Washington, DC: National Assembly of National Voluntary Health and Social Welfare Organizations.
- 2. Carter, M. (1986). Securing quality staff: Will criminal history checks help? Child Care Information Exchange, January, 31-32.
- 3. Community Services Commission (1996) Who cares?: Protecting people in residential care. Report on the recruitment, screening and appointment practices of the Department of Community Services, the Department of Juvenile Justice and Non-Government Services funded or approved through the Department of Community Services, the Department of Juvenile Justice and the Ageing and Disability Department. Surry Hills, NSW.
- 4. Corby, B., Doig, A., & Roberts, V. (2001). Public inquiries into abuse of children in residential care. London: Jessica Kingsley Publishers.
- 5. Downey, R. (1992). Abuse of power. Social Work Today, 24(17 Sep 92), 12-13.
- 6. Finkelhor, D., Williams, L. M., & Burns, N. (1988). Nursery crimes: Sexual abuse in day care. Newbury Park, U.S.: Sage Publications.
- 7. Francis, J. (1994). Behind closed doors. Community Care(1028), 14-15.
- 8. Graves, B. (1994). When the Abuser Is an Educator. School Administrator, 51(9), 8-14, 16-18.
- 9. Grier, A., & Thomas, T. (2001). Unsuitable to work with young people: the pre-employment screening of youth workers. Youth and Policy, 77, 1-10.
- 10. Hanson, R. K., & Price, S. (2003, April 2–5 2003). Sexual abuse screening procedures for positions of trust with children. in Sexual abuse in the Catholic Church: Scientific and legal perspectives. Paper presented at the Proceedings of the conference "Abuse of Children and Young People by Catholic Priests and Religious", Vatican City.
- 11. Johnson (Lawrence) and Associates, Inc. (1981) Comparative Licensing Study: Profiles of State Day Care Licensing Requirements. Washington, DC.
- 12. Who Is Guarding Our Children? Training Requirements for School Police and School Security (1997). Senate Publications. Sacramento, CA.
- 13.McCormack, A., & Selvaggio, M. (1989). Screening for pedophiles in youth-orientated community agencies. Social Casework: The Journal of Contemporary Social Work, 70, 37-40.
- 14. Natale, J. A. (1993). Kooks, Crooks, and Kids. American School Board Journal, 180(1), 18-23.
- 15. New York Task Force on School Violence (1999). Safer Schools for the 21st Century.
- 16.Office of Inspector General, Department of Health and Human Services (1985) Preventing Sexual Abuse in Day Care Programs: National Program Inspection. Washington, DC.
- 17. Peyton, L. (2002) Advice to the Minister on implementation of Children First and Vetting. National Children's Advisory Council. Dublin.
- 18. Pringle, K. (1993). Gender politics. Community Care(956), 16-17.
- 19. Shakeshaft, C. (1994). Responding to Complaints of Sexual Abuse. School Administrator, 51(9), 22-27.
- 20. Staley, C., Ranck, E. R., Perreault, J., & Neugebauer, R. (1986). Guidelines for effective staff selection. Child Care Information Exchange, January, 22-26.
- 21. Thomas, T. (2005). Sex Crime: Sex Offending and Society, Second Edition.
- 22. Thomas, T., Katz, I., & Wattam, C. (1999). Cupicso: The Collection & Use of Personal Information on Child Sex Offenders.
- 23. Titus, R. M., & DeFrances, C. J. (1989) Criminal Record Checks of Public School Employment Applicants.

- 24. Warner, N. (1992) Choosing with Care: The Report of the Committee of Inquiry into the Selection, Development and Management of Staff in Children's Homes, The Warner Report. London.
- 25. Welch, J. (1998). Process of denial. People Management, 4(4), 30-34.
- 26. Wells, S., Davis, N., Dennis, K., Chipman, R., Sandt, C., & Liss, M. (1995) Effective Screening of Child Care and Youth Service Workers. American Bar Association (ABA), Center on Children and the Law. Washington, DC.
- 27. Young, C. A. (1996) Chilliwack Community Services Strategic Initiatives Registration Project: Start Up Phase-Summary Report.



Level 5, 232 Victoria Parade, East Melbourne, Victoria, Australia 3002

E info@parentingrc.org.au

P +61 3 8660 3500

F +61 3 8660 3599

W www.parentingrc.org.au