

Appendix 6. REA program rating checklist template

| Evidence of effectiveness criteria | | Well Supported | Supported | Promising | Emerging | No Effect | Concerning Practice |
|------------------------------------|---|-------------------|-----------|-----------|----------|--------------|------------------------|
| 1. | No evidence of risk or harm | | | | | | |
| 2. | If there have been multiple studies, the overall evidence supports the benefit of the program | | | | | | |
| 3. | Clear <u>baseline</u> and <u>post</u> measurement of outcomes for both conditions | | | | | | |
| 4. | At least two RCTs have found the program to be significantly more effective than comparison group. Effect was maintained for at least one study at 1 year follow-up. | | | | | | |
| 5. | At least one RCT has found the program to be significantly more effective than comparison group. Effect was maintained at 6 month follow-up. | | | | | | |
| 6. | At least one study using some form of contemporary comparison group demonstrated some improvement outcomes for the intervention but not the comparison group | | | | | | |

| Evidence of effectiveness criteria | | Well Supported | Supported | Promising | Emerging | No Effect | Concerning Practice |
|------------------------------------|--|-------------------|-----------|-----------|----------|--------------|------------------------|
| 7. | There is insufficient evidence demonstrating the program's effect on outcomes because: a) the designs are not sufficiently rigorous (criteria 1-6) OR b) the results of rigorous studies are not yet available | | | | | | |
| 8. | Two or more RCTs have found no effect compared to usual care OR the overall weight of the evidence does not support the benefit of the program | | | | | | |
| 9. | There is evidence of harm or risk to participants OR the overall weight of the evidence suggests a negative effect on participants | | | | | | |

Appendix 3 2